

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General  
of the State of California  
2 PAUL C. AMENT  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 E. A. JONES III, State Bar No. 71375  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 ELAINE GYURKO  
Senior Legal Analyst  
5 California Department of Justice  
300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
6 Los Angeles, California 90013  
Telephone: (213) 897-4944  
7 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395

8 Attorneys for Complainant

9 **BEFORE THE**  
**RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD**  
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 1H 2007 454

13 AUGARE THOMAS  
14 14210 S. Vermont Avenue #107  
Gardena, California 90247

**A C C U S A T I O N**

15 Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 22838

16 Respondent.

17  
18 Complainant alleges:

19 PARTIES

20 1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her  
21 official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,  
22 Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).

23 2. On or about February 11, 2003, the Board issued Respiratory Care  
24 Practitioner License Number 22838 to Augare Thomas (Respondent). This license was in full  
25 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31,  
26 2010, unless renewed.

27 //

28 //

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

4. Section 3710 of the Code states: “The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act].”

6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

“ . . .

“ . . . ”

“ . . . ”

“The board may take action against any respiratory care practitioner who is found to be guilty of unprofessional conduct in administering, or attempting to administer, direct or indirect respiratory care. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following: (a) negligent acts of clearly administering directly or indirectly inappropriate or unsafe respiratory care procedures, protocols, therapeutic regimens, or diagnostic testing or monitoring techniques, and violation of any provision of Section 3750. The board may also take action against any respiratory care practitioner who is found to be guilty of unprofessional conduct involving any and all aspects of respiratory care administered by anyone licensed as a respiratory care practitioner.”

2

1 COST RECOVERY

2 8. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

3 "In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board,  
4 the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to  
5 have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed  
6 the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

7 9. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

8 "For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall  
9 include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other  
10 administrative, filing, and service fees."

11 10. Section 3753.1, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

12 "An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may  
13 include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary  
14 costs associated with monitoring the probation."

15 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

16 (Negligence)

17 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750,  
18 subdivision (f), of the Code in that she was negligent in her practice as a respiratory care  
19 practitioner. The circumstances are as follows:

20 A. In July 2007, Respondent was employed as a respiratory care practitioner  
21 at Torrance Memorial Medical Center (TMMC) in Long Beach, California. On or about  
22 July 6, 2007, Respondent was responsible for providing respiratory care and treatment to  
23 Patient #4049627, an eighty-two year old male who previously had a tracheostomy, was  
24 in respiratory failure, and was ventilator dependent.

25 B. On July 6, 2007, at about 7:39 p.m., Respondent entered an order for the  
26 patient to be placed on a ventilator with 100% oxygen. Respondent did not perform or  
27 document in the patient's record that a ventilator check was done when the patient was  
28 placed on a ventilator.

1 C. At about 8:26 p.m., the patient's physician ordered that the patient be  
2 admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU). At about 8:36 p.m., the patient's physician  
3 ordered a chest CT scan. At about 9:00 p.m., Respondent disconnected the patient from  
4 the ventilator and put the ventilator on standby mode. Respondent manually ventilated  
5 the patient while transporting the patient to the chest CT scanner department.

6 D. After the chest CT scan was completed, Respondent transported the  
7 patient, who was still being manually ventilated, back to the Emergency Room (ER) at  
8 about 9:17 p.m. Respondent reconnected the patient to the ventilator. However, she did  
9 not turn on the ventilator, but left the ventilator in standby mode, thus depriving the  
10 patient of oxygen. Respondent did not perform or document a ventilator check after she  
11 reconnected the patient to the ventilator. She did not verify that the ventilator was  
12 operating properly. Respondent did not assess and document the patient's condition after  
13 transporting him to the ER and placing him on the ventilator. Respondent then left the  
14 patient's room.

15 E. When a nurse entered the patient's room at about 9:20 p.m., she observed  
16 that the patient was very pale, his lips were gray, he was unresponsive, and was not  
17 breathing. The nurse also observed that the ventilator screen for the patient was in  
18 standby mode. The patient was in cardiopulmonary arrest. At about 9:20 p.m., a Code  
19 Blue was called which continued until about 9:37 p.m., when the patient was stabilized.  
20 Respondent did not document in the patient's record the incident regarding the ventilator  
21 standby mode or that a Code Blue was called.

22 F. The Evita Ventilator logbook summary for the patient's ventilator  
23 recorded the following events for July 6, 2007: The patient's ventilator was powered on at  
24 7:39 p.m. Standby mode was activated at 9:00 p.m. when the patient was transported to  
25 the CT unit. Standby mode was terminated at 9:20 p.m. when a Code Blue was called.

26 G. The Mechanical Ventilation Policy (MVP) at TMMC required, among  
27 other things, that Respondent record all relevant data on the ventilator flow sheet in the  
28 Hospital Information System, document all current ventilator settings, document alarms,

1 document clinical observations, and evaluate the patient's condition at each system check.  
2 She was also required to perform ventilator checks at various times, including after  
3 changes in ventilator settings and after transporting a patient. Respondent did not follow  
4 these procedures as was required by the hospital's MVP.

5 H. Respondent was terminated from her employment at TMMC on  
6 July 13, 2007.

7 Negligent Acts

8 I. Respondent committed acts of negligence regarding the care and  
9 treatment of Patient #4049627 on or about July 6, 2007, which included, but were not  
10 limited to, the following:

11 1. Respondent failed to perform and document the initial ventilator  
12 check when she placed the patient on the ventilator at about 7:39 p.m.

13 2. When Respondent returned the patient to the ER, she reconnected  
14 the patient to the ventilator, but failed to turn on the ventilator. Respondent left the  
15 ventilator in standby mode, thereby depriving the patient of oxygen.

16 3. Respondent failed to perform or document a ventilator check after  
17 she reconnected the patient to the ventilator. She failed to verify that the patient's  
18 ventilator was operating properly and was in active mode.

19 4. Respondent failed to assess and document the condition of the  
20 patient after transporting him back to the ER and connecting him to the ventilator.

21 5. Respondent failed to turn on the ventilator; as a result, the patient  
22 went into cardiopulmonary arrest and a Code Blue was called.

23 6. Respondent failed to follow the hospital's MVP.

24 7. Respondent failed to document in the patient's record the incident  
25 regarding the ventilator standby mode and the subsequent Code Blue.

26 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

27 (Incompetence)

28 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750,

1 subdivision (o) of the Code in that she was incompetent in her practice as a respiratory care  
2 practitioner. The facts and circumstances, set forth in Paragraph 11 of this Accusation, are  
3 incorporated herein by reference.

4 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

5 (Unprofessional Conduct)

6 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3755 of the  
7 Code in that she engaged in unprofessional conduct in her practice as a respiratory care  
8 practitioner. The facts and circumstances, set forth in Paragraphs 11 and 12 of this Accusation,  
9 are incorporated herein by reference.

10 PRAYER

11 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein  
12 alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:

13 1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number  
14 22838 issued to Aguaré Thomas;

15 2. Ordering Aguaré Thomas to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs of  
16 the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation  
17 monitoring; and

18 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

19 DATED: April 21, 2008

20  
21 Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:  
22 STEPHANIE NUNEZ  
23 Executive Officer  
24 Respiratory Care Board of California  
25 Department of Consumer Affairs  
26 State of California  
27 Complainant  
28